	BRCCP RECOMMENDATION MATRIX								
FINAL RE	ECOMMENDATIONS	Wait for OCP?	Project Status	Decisions/work needed by Transition Team	Timeline	Notes			
	BOARD OF SUPERVISORS								
1. Resolve Case Management	Crisis (Recommendation 5)		BOARD OF COT ERVICORS						
by adding a requirement for real outcomes, including recurrence previous incident, maltreatme	s active oversight of DCFS' strategic plan egular reporting of specific safety related be of maltreatment within six months of a nt rates in out-of-home placement, and onths of a permanent placement.	no	Director of DCFS will provide information to Transition Team.		9/29/2014				
monthly social worker visits, the Command Post needing p	ular reporting on the frequency of missed he wait times for children in offices or at placement, the length of time for kin and the number of foster homes recruited.	no	Director of DCFS will provide information to Transition Team.		9/29/2014				
c the measures identified in one should be done in collaboration		no	DCFS is to indicate specific benchmarks for improvement in one or two of the 7 indicators listed above.		October				
2. Establish an Oversight Tear	n to Ensure Implementation of Recomm	endatio	ns: 3 Initial Tasks (Recomm	endation 8)					
a Oversee implementation of the adoption by the Board.	e Commission's recommendations upon	no		Transition team to oversee implementation of the BRC recommendations until the Office of Child Protection is in place.					
by the Departments of Health Public Health, Probation, Mer LA, the Los Angeles County C Council, and the Housing Aut	d, identify the services currently provided Services, Children and Family Services, tall Health, Public Social Services, First 5 Office of Education, the Domestic Violence hority of the County of Los Angeles g child safety. The accompanying budget all be identified.	no	CEO's office to produce this with Dr. Sanders?	Indentify services and resources currently allocated to child protection and child welfare. Identify accounting of the major state and federal funding streams affecting services to children. Next step will be to look at breakdown of funding streams and funding needs.					
The Oversight Team must de reports to the Board.	velop a dashboard to provide monthly	no	The dashboard should include safety related outcomes as noted in recommendations 5 a and b		Immediate				

FINAL RECOMMENDATIONS	Wait for OCP?	Project Status	Decisions/work needed by Transition Team	Timeline	Notes
		OFFICE OF CHILD PROTEC	TION		
3. Articulate a Countywide Mission to Prioritize and Improve Child Saf Board should mandate that child safety is a top priority. It should articulate a County-wide Mission and call for:			Staff sent members a copy of the newly adopted County strategic plan (8/26/14).		
a All relevant County entities to work together and with the Community	yes				
<b>b</b> Joint strategic planning and blended funding streams	yes				
c Data-driven programs and evaluations	yes				
A comprehensive service delivery system, including prevention programs that stop child maltretatment before it starts	yes				
An annual overview of the state of the field of child welfare, presented to the Board by external consultants and experts.	yes				
4. Establish an Entity to Oversee One Unified Child Protection System	(Reco	mmendation 2)			
a Establish Office of Child Protection with Director and support staff.	no	Search for Director is under way.		By end of December	
Oversee a Joint Planning Process. In close collaboration with all relevant department heads and community stakeholders, the director must lead a process to create a comprehensive, child-centered strategic plan process to create comprehensive child centered strategic plan that is data driven, informed by best practices, connects all child welfare services in the County, and articulates measurable goals and timeframes.	yes				
c Have clear oversight and authority over financial and staffing resources from all relevant departments, as delegated by the Board.	yes				
(Summary:) For all resources related to child welfare, institute an annual Countywide budget review process that examines all proposed, present and past resource allocations and aligns them with the goals of the Countywide strategic plan. Coordinate relevant funding streams from various departments, explore strategic use of flexible funding sources, allocate funding based on a shared County child welfare mission, strategic plan, annual goals, and measureable outcomes.	yes	Dependent on 2b			

	FINAL RECOMMENDATIONS	Wait for OCP?		Decisions/work needed by Transition Team	Timeline	Notes		
•	Review existing County commissions and all recommendations related to the protection of children.	no	Commissions: What are the other coordinating Commissions or bodies? How do they work to protect	Review prior reports that reviewed Commissions for overlap. Catalog & detail Commissions & other coordinated bodies involved in order to recommend to BOS on how to move forward.	Commissions review by end Sept. Review of Recommendati ons at future time.			
1	Oversee implementation of appropriate proposals, as well as the streamlining of existing commissions.	yes						
ç	(Summary:) Establish and evaluate measurable outcomes as part of the annual planning and budget allocation process to facilitate constant improvement, generalize successful pilot programs and discontinue unsatisfactory practices.	yes						
ł	Oversee Countywide prevention efforts	yes		related item: Matrix #12				
5	Define Measures of Success and Oversee the Reform Process (Rec	ommen	dation 3)					
а	(Summary:) The Board should adopt clear outcome measures which should include those set forth ( <i>4 criteria described</i> ).	no	See 2c (develop a dashboard)					
b	The Los Angeles County Office of Child Protection should regularly assess the County's progress and report its findings directly to the Board. The findings should be reviewed regularly at Board meetings.	yes	(see 2c)					
С	ICAN should be removed from within DCFS and exist as an	no	What is required to		Later on in			
H	independent entity.		implement this? E INTEGRATION AMONG D	EDARTMENTS	process			
Δ	dopt the Commission's Interim Recommendations (Recommendation		L INTEGRATION AWONG D	LEARINENIS				
_	6. Law Enforcement (Recommendation 4A)							
	All Sheriff's Deputies and local law enforcement agencies within the County of Los Angeles must cross-report every child abuse allegation to DCFS, as required by State law. It should be documented that a cross-report was made in a police report or law enforcement log The DA should work with other law enforcement to implement this recommendation and review the success of LASD's implementation efforts.	no	In place for LASD and LAPD		ongoing			

	FINAL RECOMMENDATIONS	Wait for OCP?	Project Status	Decisions/work needed by Transition Team	Timeline	Notes
	-SCARS should be utilized fully by all relevant agencies and receive le necessary support to be well-maintained and enhanced.	no	Waiting for Transition Team to prioritize recommendations for Board approval. Re Support: 4 new DA positions requested: 2 approved (1 Dep. DA IV, 1 additional paralegal).	Prioritize recommendations for Board approval. (Status request to Sheriff, local law enforcement and DCFS) Cost per year of a Paralegal item is \$83,749.04;	TT review quarterly? w/DCFS? ESCARS Steering Committee?	
c ea C fa	Summary:) The DA's office should increase its oversight of law inforcement response and information-sharing, including cross-eporting between DCFS and law enforcement agencies, to ensure that each agency carries out its mandated investigative response The ommission supports funding the DA's proposed ESCARS Unit to acilitate needed improvements in law enforcement response to child buse and neglect reports.	no	DDA performs ongoing audit and produces monthly reports per DDA presentation 9/8/14. Funding for ESCARS Unit: see above.	Obtain information on: additional costs	KD do by 9/16	
d tr	raining of all levels of law enforcement must be enhanced to include:  ufficient initial and recurrent training on child abuse and E-SCARS;  essons learned" from important case reviews; cross-training with  ocial work, mental health, and other relevant personnel; and additional  aining on responding to domestic violence calls and identifying  stances of abuse that may be occurring in group homes, including  ex trafficking exploitation which victimizes a high percentage of foster  are youth.	no	DA's office provides training; proposes creating ESCARS Unit to train proactively and enhance training, establish an institutional knowledge base. Relates to 13	(Status request to Sheriff, local law enforcement and DCFS) Prioritize recommendations for Board approval.		
<b>e</b> ea	he County should develop an early-warning system within ESCARS to lert DCFS and law enforcement of high-risk allegations of abuse as arly as possible. A convergence of high-risk factors would alert upervisors of high-risk situations and allow them to take appropriate ction.	no	In early conceptual stage. DCFS operates the system, including programming modifications.	Obtain information from DCFS (? Or from ESCARS Steering Committee?) on projected timeline, number of programmers, type of programmatic work needed, likely cost of programming. Beware "alert fatigue."	Refer to March 2014 DCFS proposal	
	ealth Services (Recommendation 4B)					
a go	ssessments should be conducted to identify each Hub's strengths and eaknesses. Strategies should be devised to meet the needs in each eographic area. The Violence Intervention Program at LAC-USC ledical Center is the most comprehensive Hub that is closest to leeting articulated goals and has the greatest ability to conduct a eutral assessment.	no	Assessment by DHS will be available in September. Recommendations will be submitted to Board of Supervisors.		9/29/14?	

	FINAL RECOMMENDATIONS	Wait for OCP?	Project Status	Decisions/work needed by Transition Team	Timeline	Notes
k	All children entering placement and children under age 1 whose cases are investigated by DCFS should be screened at a Medical Hub. Children placed in out-of-home care or served by DCFS in their homes should have ongoing health care provided by physicians at the Medical Hubs.	no	Change "under age 1" to "0 to 23 months"To what extent is this happening now? Is DCFS able to bring all children? Is there currently sufficient capacity? What does "under investigation" mean?	(Refer to "0 to 23 months" not "under age 1") Can we create a comprehensive protocol such that a primary pediatrician could do it if s/he were willing?	update timeline after DHS assessment is received	
C	A Public Health Nurse should be paired with a DCFS social worker in child abuse or neglect investigations of all children from birth to at least age one.	no	What are the necessary steps to enable this to happen?	DPH is invited to the 9/22 meeting. Where should the public health nurses be deployed from. (Refer to "23 months" not "age one.") Ask caseworkers what other models might help them with the "gray area" decisions. Would 'telemedicine' consults be an option?		
c	The Department of Public Health's evidence-based home visit service should be made available to all children under age one who are seen at a Medical Hub.	no	What are the necessary steps to enable this to happen? Do we have sufficient resources to do this or do we need additional \$\$\$?	(Refer to "evidence-based home visit service," not only to DPH hv service; refer to "under 23 months," not under age one.) DPH and First 5 L.A. are invited to present to the 9/22/14 meeting.		
•	DPH must be held directly responsible for substance abuse treatment for high-risk teen mothers.	no	Antonia Jimenez will look into status of DPH program for families with 0-5 or pregnant family member. What is the process by which high-risk teen mothers are identified? What is the size of the need? How does it compare with the available programming? Is the available programming evidence-based, culturally appropriate?	DPH and First 5 L.A. are invited to present to the		

FINAL RECOMMENDATIONS	Wait for OCP?	Project Status	Decisions/work needed by Transition Team	Timeline	Notes
8. Children Age Five and Under (Recommendation 4C)					
The County can measurably and immediately improve child safety by requiring all departments to target resources and high quality services, including prevention services, toward children under age five.	yes		Related matrix items: 8, 13b, 16b. 16c; also mat.3		
9. Kinship Care: Address the needs of children placed with relatives (	Recomi	mendation 6A)			
A child's funding should be determined by the needs of the child, not whether placement is with a relative or a foster family. The CEO and DCFS should examine the County's ability to waive federal eligibility rules and its accompanying funding flexibility to strengthen support for children in out of home care.	no	What rules are we needing to waive? What would be the process for waiving them? What would be the effect of SB855? Pending: State clarification re: formula, timing, funding, federal requirements.	Identify vehicles for ensuring that the child's funding be needs-based. Keep SB855 issue on the agenda for 9/22/14 Transition Team meeting.		
The County and DCFS should utilize its Title IV-E waiver dollars to ensure parity of funding for children placed with kin to that of children placed in foster family settings.	no	What needs to happen for waiver dollars to be used for parity?			
A child's services should be based on the needs of the child, not whether placement is with a relative or a foster family. The CEO and DCFS should ensure that relative caregivers are more fully supported to address a range of possible needs.	no	Are there other things besides financial support that are included here? If so, what? How would we make that happen?			
The County, through the Auditor-Controller and the CEO, should review the current mix of county licensing and supports for foster homes and approval and supports for kin, to assess the inconsistent performance and resource allocation, and to determine whether a more uniform streamlined system would be more effective. The Commission believes consideration of contracting out this process is warranted.	no	When is the Auditor- Controller planning to start?	DCFS identifying private funding to conduct independent analysis.  - The private funding DCFS is seeking would not have inconsistent performance and resource allocation.  - DCFS will discuss with Auditor-Controller about how to review.		
10. Recruitment of Non-relative Foster Homes (Recommendation 6B)					
The Board should call for an independent analysis of non-relative foster family recruitment efforts in the County to determine how the system can be more efficient and effective. The analysis should use sound data to address a range of questions, including whether there are safe and appropriate homes in each SPA to meet the needs of foster youth.	no	Funding obtained through Pritzker Foundation. Todd Franke (UCLA) will lead the independent analysis; meetings with DCFS are under way.		current	
DCFS should develop a computerized, real-time system to identify available and appropriate placements based on the specific needs of the child.	no	DCFS is developing its computer system to do this.			

FINAL RECOMMENDATIONS	Wait for OCP?	Project Status	Decisions/work needed by Transition Team	Timeline	Notes
c DCFS should involve foster youth in the rating and assessment of foster homes.		What needs to happen for this?			
11. Recommendations Necessary to Support the Countywide Safety					
Improve Safety: "The Board should direct the CEO to immediately in	mpleme	nt the process used by Ecke	erd components initially red	quired are as fo	ollows:" (Rec 7A)
Conduct a review of all child fatalities due to abuse and neglect within the past three years of children served in a DHS medical hub, DCFS, Probation, the DPSS, by a DPH public health nurse or home visiting program or by a First 5 LA home visiting program.		How does this compare with other reviews that are done?			
<b>b</b> Conduct a thorough review of all open cases in the above departments.	yes	Is this happening now?			
Review research findings from Emily Putnam Hornstein, Ph.D and others on risk factors for L.A. County children at risk for later child fatality due to abuse and neglect as well as data from the Interagency Council on Child Abuse and Neglect (I-CAN).	no	DCFS is piloting the Approach to Understanding Risk Assessment (AURA), a technological tool, to identify potential cases which are high risk.			
Using both case review and research findings, identify specific characteristics that distinguish children who have positive outcomes versus those who are subsequently severely injured or killed. Specifically, identify key risk factors that are present in cases resulting in child fatalities.					
Equipped with specific case information and research findings that e identify children at greater risk, staff must address risk factors immediately.	no	What are the measures for saying this is occurring?	Consider what would be the right ways to measure this.		
Utilize a technological solution such as E-SCARS that crosses departments to ensure that information is shared and staff alerted when potentially fatal risk factors are present.		What is the process for doing this? How do we choose a system?	If this rec relates only to ESCARS, move to Matrix #7. Keep here if it envisions cross- reporting of other data, among different departments.		
<b>g</b> Continually measure progress against the measures of success identified in Section III.					
Modify access to and delivery of key services including: health; mental health; domestic violence; substance abuse treatment; housing for adults; home visiting; and prevention supports for children, youth, and families. These services will need to be prioritized for those at highest risk for later fatalities.					
12. Comprehensive Prevention System (Recommendation 7B)					
The Board should direct DPH and First 5 LA to jointly develop a comprehensive prevention plan to reduce the overall incidence of child abuse and neglect.	no	Shouldn't this be the job of the OCP? (Board motion calls for one comprehensive plan.)	Related matrix items include: 3d, 4h, 7c, 7d, 8a, 16b, 17c		

FINAL RECOMMENDATIONS	Wait for OCP?	Project Status	Decisions/work needed by Transition Team	Timeline	Notes
13. Training & Workforce Development (Recommendation 7C)					
Departments and agencies closely involved in the identification, prevention, protection, and treatment of at-risk children should be mandated to participate in cross-training with DCFS employees. At a minimum, this interdisciplinary approach should include law enforcement, DMH, DHS, DPH, the Dependency Court, and Probation. Entities that could help create appropriate cross-training models include: UCCF, DA, and ICAN.	no	Who within the depts will be crosstrained? How many cross-trainings? What topics?	Identify an agency to take the lead.		
DCFS, DMH, and DHS should train personnel, both in-house and in <b>b</b> contract agencies, on how to most effectively work with the age 0-5 population, their families, and caretakers.	no	Is there a training schedule already in place?	Related matrix items: 8, 13b, 16b. 17c		
The UCCF should submit an annual report on outcomes that are aligned with the County's vision.	no	When will the first report be given?			
DCFS should create an innovative, open, and adaptive training process for social workers and their supervisors that consists of a continuous learning environment with training and research, akin to a teaching hospital. It should also conduct a job audit of social workers to determine what can be done differently or by others to address social worker workload.	no	DCFS instituted a new training program with all the Schools of Social Work in LA for new staff, including a teaching hospital concept in August 2013, which is continually being updated.			
14. Technology and Data Sharing (Recommendation 7D)					
The County needs to develop a clear, multi-system data linkage and sharing plan that would operate as a single, coordinated system. (Summary:) (Include at minimum: DCFS, DPSS, DMH, DPH, Probation, LACOE, and school districts. Also, partner with universities to share data identifying needs and priorities.)	yes	What platform will this occur on? Are we building a system or are their products that do this? Would the Rapid Safety Feedback system fit here?			
The CEO and Juvenile Court should co-lead the creation of a Countywide confidentiality policy regarding a child's records and court proceedings to allow sharing of information across relevant departments, agencies, persons, and the Court to serve the needs of the child and increase the transparency of the system. The priority must be the best interests of the child, rather than liability avoidance.	no	California state law already enables information sharing across relevant agencies and the Court for the purposes of coordinating services to best meet the needs of the child.			

FINAL RECOMMENDATIONS	Wait for OCP?	Project Status	Decisions/work needed by Transition Team	Timeline	Notes
15. Transparency & the Relationship with Providers and the Commun	ity (Rec	ommendation 7E)			
Greater disclosure, clarity, and inclusion should be a routine component of community engagement from planning to review of outcomes and allocation of resources. A first step is the reestablishment of community advisory councils that are attached directly to each DCFS Regional Office. These advisory councils would be cochaired by the community and its respective Regional Office. In the past, SPA 6 effectively used this model in all three of its offices.	no.	Are there any regional councils currently running?			
Performance-based contracting on agreed-upon outcome measures by DCFS, other appropriate departments and the contracting agencies for children and families should be adopted, rewarding contracting agencies that achieve better results for the children they serve.	no	What measures will be used for measuring results for contractors? Relates to 17b.			
Capacity-building experts, including universities, should work with community-based organizations to enhance skills in grant application and administration, evidence-based practice, program design, and evaluation.		Are there planned collaborations?			
16. Education (Recommendation 7F)					
The County should establish mechanisms for cross-system education-related coordination, collaboration, and communication. We endorse the structure of the Education Coordinating Council, and they should continue to establish additional mechanisms for cross-site collaboration. The OCP must jointly engage DCFS, Probation, school systems, the courts, and community partners to create cross-system goals and strategies to improve educational continuity, stability, and academic success for foster youth.	no	What cross-system educational goals do we want the county to focus on?			
The County should increase access to early intervention services for foster children and children at high risk of abuse and neglect. All children under the supervision of DCFS between 0-5 should be prioritized for access to Early Childhood Education learning programs, including Head Start, Early Health Start, and Home Visitation. These programs should be funded and well marketed. Once placed in a program, children should be permitted to remain enrolled until they start kindergarten.	no	How does the demand for these programs compare with supply? What is the funding need? How well are the programs currently marketed?			
The County should ensure that school stability and child safety are improved through County-wide expansion of the pilot program that has been proven effective in the Gloria Molina Foster Youth Education Program.	no	DCFS has implemented the Foster Care Educational Program Countywide.		Implemented	

	FINAL RECOMMENDATIONS	Wait for OCP?	Project Status	Decisions/work needed by Transition Team	Timeline	Notes			
1	7. Mental Health (Recommendation 7G)								
	The Board should issue a clear mandate that non-pharmacological interventions are best practice with children wherever feasible. The Board should work with the Juvenile Court to fully implement and measure compliance with this mandate.	no	Does Juvenile Court mandate treatment?						
	As part of performance-based contracting, mental health treatments for teens and transitioning youth must incorporate trauma-focused assessments and treatments, developmental status, ethnicity, sexual identify, and vulnerability to self-harming behaviors.	no	Do youth focused mental health programs incorporate these elements? How can that increase? Relates to 15b.						
	Children age five and under in the child welfare system must have access to age appropriate mental health services.	no	What current services are available for children under 5? How does supply compare with demand? Relates to 12a						